

## Template 1 – Annex: Open, Transparent and Merit-based Recruitment Check-list<sup>1</sup>

### OTM-R checklist for organisations

	Open	Trans- parent	Merit- based	Answer: ++ Yes, completely +/-Yes, substantially -/+ Yes, partially -- No	Suggested indicators (or form of measurement)
<b>OTM-R system</b>					
1. Have we published a version of our OTM-R policy online (in the national language and in English)?	x	x	x	+/-	<a href="http://www.ikerbasque.net/en/about-us/hrs4r">http://www.ikerbasque.net/en/about-us/hrs4r</a>
2. Do we have an internal guide setting out clear OTM-R procedures and practices for all types of positions?	x	x	x	+/-	Last version of P04 “Talent Atraction” process [Last update: January 2016]
3. Is everyone involved in the process sufficiently trained in the area of OTM-R?	x	x	x	+/-	Existence of training programmes for OTM-R Number of staff following training in OTM-R Working session of P04 “Talent Atraction” process Working Group about OTM-R procedures
4. Do we make (sufficient) use of e-recruitment tools?	x	x		++	All our recruitment processes use a Web-based tool ( <a href="http://calls.ikerbasque.net">http://calls.ikerbasque.net</a> )
5. Do we have a quality control system for OTM-R in place?	x	x	x	-/+	Results of Satisfaction survey to applicants Number of review meetings of the Talent Atraction” process Working Group
6. Does our current OTM-R policy encourage external candidates to apply?	x	x	x	++	Trend in the share of applicants from outside the institution
7. Is our current OTM-R policy in line with policies to attract researchers from abroad?	x	x	x	++	Trend in the share of applicants from abroad Trend in the share of applicants who meet MSCA mobility criteria
8. Is our current OTM-R policy in line with policies to attract underrepresented groups?	x	x	x	+/-	Trend in the share of female applicants Trend in success rates of female applicants
9. Is our current OTM-R policy in line with policies to provide attractive working conditions for researchers?	x	x	x	++	Trend in the share of applicants from outside the institution
10. Do we have means to monitor whether the most				++	Trend in the share of eligible applicants

<sup>1</sup> <http://ec.europa.eu/euraxess/index.cfm/services/researchPolicies>

suitable researchers apply?					Trend in the internal scores assigned by the Evaluation Committee to applicants Trend in the number of applicants who reach the expected threshold
<b>Advertising and application phase</b>					
11. Do we have clear guidelines or templates (e.g., EURAXESS) for advertising positions?	x	x		++	See "00 Ikerbasque Call Template"
12. Do we include in the job advertisement references/links to all the elements foreseen in the relevant section of the toolkit? [see Chapter 4.4.1 a) of the OTM-R expert report <sup>2</sup> ]	x	x		+/-	See "00 Ikerbasque Call Template"
13. Do we make full use of EURAXESS to ensure our research vacancies reach a wider audience?	x	x		++	All our job adverts are posted on EURAXESS; Trend in the share of applicants recruited from outside the organisation/abroad
14. Do we make use of other job advertising tools?	x	x		++	Outreach Plans for each Call.
15. Do we keep the administrative burden to a minimum for the candidate? [see Chapter 4.4.1 b) <sup>45</sup> ]	x			++	Trends in the "Application Process" item in the Satisfaction Surveys for Applicants. Number of documents required to applicants
<b>Selection and evaluation phase</b>					
16. Do we have clear rules governing the appointment of selection committees? [see Chapter 4.4.2 a) <sup>45</sup> ]		x	x	+/-	Share of external experts in our Evaluation Committee (external meaning outside the institution)
17. Do we have clear rules concerning the composition of selection committees?		x	x	+/-	Written guidelines
18. Are the committees sufficiently gender-balanced?		x	x	-/+	Share of women in our Evaluation Committee
19. Do we have clear guidelines for selection committees which help to judge 'merit' in a way that leads to the best candidate being selected?			x	++	Written guidelines Structured Web-Based evaluation tool
<b>Appointment phase</b>					
20. Do we inform all applicants at the end of the selection process?		x		++	Web-based tool: "Status of your application" Templates for communication with applicants

<sup>2</sup> <http://ec.europa.eu/euraxess/index.cfm/services/researchPolicies>

**Open, Transparent and Merit-based Recruitment of Researchers**

OTM-R Checklist for Institutions

Last update: November 2016

21. Do we provide adequate feedback to interviewees?		x		++	Web-based tool: Individual evaluation reports
22. Do we have an appropriate complaints mechanism in place?		x		+/-	Statistics on complaints
<b>Overall assessment</b>					
23. Do we have a system in place to assess whether OTM-R delivers on its objectives?				+/-	Indicators of P04 "Talent Attraction" process P04 "Talent Attraction" process review meetings